

# ON THE IWASAWA $\lambda$ -INVARIANTS OF CERTAIN REAL ABELIAN FIELDS

HUMIO ICHIMURA AND HIROKI SUMIDA

**Abstract** For any totally real number field  $k$  and any prime number  $p$ , it is conjectured that the Iwasawa invariants  $\lambda_p(k)$  and  $\mu_p(k)$  are both zero. We give a new efficient method to verify this conjecture for certain real abelian fields. Characteristic of our method compared with other existing ones are that we use effectively cyclotomic units and that we introduce a new way to apply  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions to the conjecture.

## §1 INTRODUCTION

For a number field  $k$  and a prime number  $p$ , denote by  $\lambda = \lambda_p(k)$  and  $\mu = \mu_p(k)$  the Iwasawa  $\lambda$ -invariant and the  $\mu$ -invariant associated to the ideal class group of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $k_\infty/k$  respectively. For any totally real number field  $k$  and any  $p$ , it is conjectured that  $\lambda_p(k) = \mu_p(k) = 0$  (Iwasawa[I3,page 316], Greenberg [Gr]), which is often called Greenberg's conjecture. We already know that  $\mu = 0$  when  $k$  is abelian over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (Ferrero-Washington[FW]). When  $k$  is a real quadratic field, several authors have given some sufficient conditions for the conjecture mainly in terms of units of the  $n$ -th layer  $k_n$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension for some  $n$  ([Ca], [Gr], [FK1], [FKW], [F1], [K], [FT], [T] and [FK2]). These conditions are roughly divided into two classes; ones for the case  $(\frac{k}{p}) = 1$  (e.g. [FK1], [FT]), and ones for the other case (e.g. [FK2]). Calculating a system of fundamental units of  $k_0$  or  $k_1$  (e.g. [FK1], [FT])

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

for the first case, or finding a “good” unit (in the sense of [FK2]) of  $k_n$  with  $0 \leq n \leq 3$  for the second case, they have shown that the conjecture is valid for many real quadratic fields with small discriminants and  $p = 3$ . But, the conjecture is not yet settled, for example, when  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{254})$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{473})$  and  $p = 3$  (for which  $(\frac{k}{p}) = -1$ ). A reason is, as T. Fukuda kindly informed us, that one is required to have some information on units of  $k_n$  with  $n$  at least 5(!) to apply the criterion of [FK2] to these fields.

The primary purpose of the present paper is to give a simple necessary and sufficient condition (Theorem, Corollary) for the conjecture when  $k$  is a real abelian field and  $p > 2$  for which  $p$  does not split in  $k$  and the couple  $(k, p)$  satisfies some further assumptions (C). It is given in terms of a certain cyclotomic unit and some polynomial related to a  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function. From our theorem, it is possible to derive criterions for the conjecture involving only rational arithmetic (and no calculation of fundamental units) for several classes of real abelian fields. For example, we shall give such a criterion for certain real quadratic fields (Proposition 2). It is quite analogous to the classical one ([W, Corollary 8.19]) for the Vandiver conjecture on  $p$ -divisibility of the class number of  $\mathbb{Q}(\cos 2\pi/p)$ , and is very suitable for computer calculation.

Let  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  be a real quadratic field with  $m$  square-free, and  $\chi$  the associated primitive Dirichlet character. Denote by  $\lambda_p^*(k)$  the  $\lambda$ -invariant of the power series associated the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(s, \chi)$ . Then, we have an upper bound  $\lambda_p(k) \leq \lambda_p^*(k)$  by the Iwasawa main conjecture proved by Mazur and Wiles [MW]. The assumptions (C) mentioned above are that  $p$  does not split in  $k$  (resp.  $k(\sqrt{-3})$ ) when  $p > 3$  (resp.  $p = 3$ ) and that  $\lambda_p^*(k) = 1$  in the real quadratic case. These are satisfied when  $p = 3$  and  $m = 254, 473$ . By using our criterion, we see by some computation that  $\lambda_p(k) = 0$  for  $p = 3$  (resp. 5, 7) and all  $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  with  $1 < m < 10^4$  (resp.  $2 \times 10^4, 3 \times 10^4$ ) satisfying the above conditions.



We recall some standard notations as follows. Let  $f$  be the conductor of  $\chi$  and  $q$  the least common multiple of  $f$  and  $p$ . Let  $k_\infty/k$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension and  $k_n (n \geq 0)$  its  $n$ -th layer. Let  $A_n$  be the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of the ideal class group of  $k_n$ , and put  $A_\infty = \varprojlim A_n$ , here the projective limit is taken with respect to the relative norms. Let

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \chi(\sigma) \sigma^{-1}$$

be the idempotent of the group ring  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p[\Delta]$  corresponding to  $\chi$ . By (C1), this is an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ . For a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module  $M$ , denote the  $\chi$ -component  $e_\chi M$  by  $M(\chi)$ . Identifying the Galois group  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$  with  $\text{Gal}(k(\mu_{p^\infty})/k(\mu_p))$  in a natural way, we choose a topological generator  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  so that  $\zeta^\gamma = \zeta^{1+q}$  for all  $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$ . We identify, as usual, the completed group ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$  with the power series ring  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  by  $\gamma = 1 + T$ . For a  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[\Gamma]]$ -module  $M$  (for example,  $M = A_\infty$ ), we regard  $M(\chi)$  as a module over  $\Lambda$  by the above identification. By [I3, Theorem 8],  $A_\infty(\chi)$  is finitely generated and torsion over  $\Lambda$ . Denote respectively by  $\lambda_\chi$  and  $\mu_\chi$  the  $\lambda$ -invariant and the  $\mu$ -invariant of the  $\Lambda$ -module  $A_\infty(\chi)$ .

Greenberg's conjecture for the couple  $(p, \chi)$  is now stated as follows:

$$\text{Conjecture}(p, \chi) \quad \lambda_\chi = \mu_\chi = 0.$$

As we mentioned in §1, we already know that  $\mu_\chi = 0$  ([FW]). Because of the condition (C2), the above conjecture is valid when  $A_0(\chi) = \{1\}$  (cf. [W, Proposition 13.22]). So, we further assume

$$(C4) \quad A_0(\chi) \neq \{1\}$$

to exclude the trivial case.

To give our criterion, we need one more assumption and some notations related to the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function  $L_p(s, \chi)$  and cyclotomic units. By Iwasawa [I2],

there exists uniquely a power series in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$  such that

$$g_\chi((1+q)^{1-s} - 1) = L_p(s, \chi).$$

Denote respectively by  $\lambda_\chi^*$  and  $\mu_\chi^*$  the  $\lambda$ -invariant and the  $\mu$ -invariant of the power series  $g_\chi$ . By [FW], we have  $\mu_\chi^* = 0$ . By the Iwasawa main conjecture (proved by Mazur-Wiles[MW]), we have  $\lambda_\chi \leq \lambda_\chi^*$ . Therefore, to investigate Conjecture  $(p, \chi)$ , the case  $\lambda_\chi^* = 1$  is the first nontrivial case we have to consider. So, we finally assume that

$$(C5) \quad \lambda_\chi^* = 1.$$

By this assumption and  $\mu_\chi^* = 0$ , we may write uniquely

$$g_\chi(T) = (T - \alpha)u(T)$$

for some  $\alpha \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$  and a unit  $u$  of  $A$ . The Leopoldt conjecture for the couple  $(p, \chi)$  (proved by Brumer[B]) asserts that  $L_p(1, \chi) \neq 0$ . Hence, we have  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Let  $p^e$  ( $1 \leq e < \infty$ ) be the highest power of  $p$  dividing  $\alpha$ . Put  $\omega_n = \omega_n(T) = (1+T)^{p^n} - 1$ . The polynomials  $X_n(T)$  ( $\in \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ ) and  $Y_n(T)$  ( $\in \mathbb{Z}[T]$ ) defined respectively by

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_n(T) = (T - \alpha)X_n(T) + \omega_n(\alpha) \\ Y_n(T) \equiv X_n(T) \pmod{p^{n+e}} \text{ and } Y_n(T) \in \mathbb{Z}[T] \end{cases}$$

play a role in our paper. Let  $\mathbf{e}_{\chi, n}$  be an element of  $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$  such that  $\mathbf{e}_{\chi, n} \equiv e_\chi \pmod{p^{n+e}}$  and the sum of coefficients is zero. Define an element  $c_n$  of  $k_n$  by

$$(2) \quad c_n = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f_n})/k_n} (1 - \zeta_{f_n})^{(r-1)\mathbf{e}_{\chi, n}}.$$

Here,  $f_n$  is the conductor of  $k_n$ ,  $\zeta_{f_n}$  is a primitive  $f_n$ -th root of unity and  $r$  is the cardinality of the residue class field of the unique prime ideal of  $k$  over  $p$ . This element  $c_n$  is a unit of  $k_n$  (a cyclotomic unit) because the sum of coefficients of  $\mathbf{e}_{\chi, n}$  is zero. Since  $\mathbb{Z}[\Gamma] \supset \mathbb{Z}[T]$  by the identification  $\gamma = 1 + T$ , the polynomial  $Y_n(T)$  can act on any element of the multiplicative group  $k_n^\times$ .

Now, our main result is stated as follows:

**Theorem.** *Assume that the couple  $(p, \chi)$  satisfies (C1)-(C5). Then,  $\lambda_\chi = 0$  if and only if the condition*

$$(H_n) \quad c_n^{Y_n(T)} \notin k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}$$

holds for some  $n \geq 0$ .

From this theorem, we obtain immediately the following

**Corollary.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem, we have  $\lambda_\chi = 0$  if and only if*

$$c_n^{Y_n(T)} \bmod \mathfrak{l} \notin (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{\times p^{n+e}}$$

for some  $n \geq 0$  and some prime ideal  $\mathfrak{l}$  of  $k_n$  of degree one, here  $l = \mathfrak{l} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ .

As we see in [I3], [Gr] and [FK2], Greenberg's conjecture is closely related to a capitulation problem in  $k_\infty/k$ . The condition  $(H_n)$  is related to such a problem as follows. For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , put

$$h_n = |\text{Ker}(A_0(\chi) \xrightarrow{i_n} A_n(\chi))|.$$

Here,  $i_n$  denotes the homomorphism induced from the inclusion  $k_0 \rightarrow k_n$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Assume that the couple  $(p, \chi)$  satisfies (C1)-(C5). When  $(H_0)$  holds, we have  $h_1 \neq 1$ . When  $(H_0)$  does not hold and  $n \geq 1$ , the condition  $(H_n)$  is equivalent to  $h_n \neq 1$ .*

**Remark 1.** One can calculate the values  $\lambda_\chi^*$ ,  $e$  and  $\alpha \bmod p^n$  by using the following approximation formula of Iwasawa [I2, §6]. Put  $\dot{T} = (1+q)(1+T)^{-1} - 1$  and  $\dot{\omega}_n = \omega_n(\dot{T})$ . For an integer  $a$ , denote by  $\gamma_n(a)$  the integer satisfying

$$0 \leq \gamma_n(a) < p^n \text{ and } \omega(a)(1+q)^{\gamma_n(a)} \equiv a \bmod p^{n+1}.$$

Then, we have

$$g_\chi(T) \equiv -\frac{1}{2qp^n} \sum_{a=1, (a,q)=1}^{qp^n} a\chi_1(a)(1+\dot{T})^{-\gamma_n(a)} \bmod \dot{\omega}_n.$$

Actually, several authors have already done such calculations in several cases. For examples, Iwasawa-Sims[IS], Buhler et al[BCEM], Fukuda[F2], Wagstaff[Wa], Ernvall and Metsänkylä[EM].

**Remark 2.** When  $\lambda_\chi^* > 1$ , Sumida[S] and Ozaki-Taya[OT] began, recently, some investigation on the conjecture using not only some data on units of  $k_n$  for some  $n$  but those on the distinguished polynomial associated to the power series  $g_\chi$ .

**Remark 3.** Strengthening, in wide length, the technique of this paper we shall give a general criterion for the conjecture for  $(p, \chi)$  without the assumptions (C2)-(C5).

### §3 REAL QUADRATIC CASE

We begin with the following lemma. Let  $(p, \chi)$  be as in §2. Put  $x_n = c_n^{Y_n(T)}$  for brevity.

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$ ,  $x_n^\sigma \equiv x_n^u \pmod{k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}}$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) = \Delta \times \Gamma$ , it suffices to deal with the case  $\sigma \in \Delta$  or  $\sigma = \gamma$ . When  $\sigma \in \Delta$ , we see from the definition (2) of  $c_n$  that  $x_n^\sigma \equiv x_n^{\chi(\sigma)} \pmod{k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}}$ . Assume  $\sigma = \gamma$ . Then, by (1) and  $p^{n+e} | \omega_n(\alpha)$ , we have

$$\gamma Y_n(T) = (1 + T)Y_n(T) \equiv (1 + \alpha)Y_n(T) + \omega_n(T) \pmod{p^{n+e}}.$$

Hence,  $x_n^\gamma \equiv x_n^{1+\alpha} \pmod{k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}}$ .  $\square$

Let  $k$  be a real quadratic field and  $\chi$  the associated primitive Dirichlet character. We assume that the couple  $(p, \chi)$  satisfies (C1)-(C5). First, we translate the condition  $(H_n)$  into a condition which involves only rational arithmetic and hence is very suitable for computer calculation. Next, we deal with some numerical examples when  $p = 3, 5$  or  $7$ .

We write

$$Y_n(T) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} a_j(1+T)^j = \sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} a_j\gamma^j, \quad a_j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The integers  $a_j$  are defined modulo  $p^{n+e}$ . Denote by  $\sigma$  the canonical isomorphism

$$\sigma : (\mathbb{Z}/f_n\mathbb{Z})^\times \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f_n})/\mathbb{Q}), \quad \bar{a} \mapsto \sigma_a.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{A}_n$  be the subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/f_n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  corresponding to  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f_n})/k_n)$  under this isomorphism. Choose and fix an integer  $d$  with  $(d, f_n) = 1$  such that  $\sigma_d|_{\mathbb{Q}_n} = id$  but  $\sigma_d|_k \neq id$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_n$  being the  $n$ -th layer of the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . The number  $r$  in the definition(2) of  $c_n$  is  $p^z - 1$ , with  $z = 2$  or  $1$  according as  $p \nmid f$  or  $p \mid f$ . Then, we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} x_n = c_n^{Y_n(T)} &= N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f_n})/k_n} (1 - \zeta_{f_n})^{(1-\sigma_d)Y_n(T)(p^z-1)/2} \\ &= \left\{ \prod_{j,a} (1 - \zeta_{f_n}^{a(1+q)^j})^{a_j} / \prod_{j,a} (1 - \zeta_{f_n}^{ad(1+q)^j})^{a_j} \right\}^{(p^z-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $j$  runs over all integers with  $0 \leq j < p^n$ , and  $a$  runs over a complete set of representatives of  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ . For an integer  $n(\geq 0)$  and a prime number  $l$  with  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{f_n}$ , choose an integer  $s$  satisfying

$$(4) \quad s \pmod{l} \text{ is of order } f_n \text{ in } (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

For an integer  $x$ , denote by  $\langle x \rangle_n$  the unique integer satisfying

$$\langle x \rangle_n \equiv x \pmod{f_n}, \quad 0 \leq \langle x \rangle_n < f_n.$$

We put

$$c(n, l, s) = \left\{ \prod_{j,a} (1 - s^{\langle a(1+q)^j \rangle_n})^{a_j} / \prod_{j,a} (1 - s^{\langle ad(1+q)^j \rangle_n})^{a_j} \right\}^{(p^z-1)/2}.$$

As is easily seen, the rational number  $c(n, l, s)$  is relatively prime to  $l$ . Because of (4) and  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{f_n}$ , there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f_n})$  over  $l$  of degree



1 such that  $s \equiv \zeta_{f_n} \pmod{\mathfrak{L}}$ , here  $\zeta_{f_n}$  is the primitive  $f_n$ -th root of unity which appeared in (3). Then, we see from (3) that

$$x_n \equiv c(n, l, s) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}} = \mathfrak{L} \cap k_n$$

and that for each  $a$  with  $(a, f_n) = 1$ ,

$$x_n^{\sigma_a} \equiv c(n, l, s^{\langle a \rangle_n}) \pmod{\mathfrak{l}}.$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 1, we observe that for each  $(n, l)$ , the condition

$$c(n, l, s) \pmod{l} \notin (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{\times p^{n+e}}$$

holds for some  $s$  satisfying (4) if and only if it holds for all such  $s$ . Then we denote by  $(H'_{n,l})$  the above equivalent conditions. We put  $f' = f$  or  $f/p$  according as  $p \nmid f$  or  $p \mid f$ . Then,  $(f', p) = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $x_n \notin k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}$  if and only if  $x_n \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f'p^{n+e}})^{\times p^{n+e}}$ .

*Proof.* Put  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f'p^{n+e}})$  for brevity. It suffices to prove that  $x_n \in k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}$  if  $x_n \in K^{\times p^{n+e}}$ . Assume that  $x_n = y^{p^{n+e}}$  for some  $y \in K$ . Then, we have  $y^{\sigma-1} \in \mu_{p^{n+e}}$  for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k_n)$ . Let  $J$  be the non-trivial automorphism of  $K$  over the maximal real subfield  $K^+$ . We easily see that  $x_n^2 = (y^{1+J})^{p^{n+e}}$  and that for any  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k_n)$

$$(y^{1+J})^{\sigma-1} \in K^+ \cap \mu_{p^{n+e}} = \{1\}.$$

Therefore, we must have  $x_n \in k_n^{\times p^{n+e}}$ .  $\square$

From all the above and the Chebotarev density theorem, we obtain the following

**Proposition 2.** *Let the notations be as above. For each integer  $n \geq 0$ , the condition  $(H_n)$  holds if and only if  $(H'_{n,l})$  holds for some prime number  $l$  with  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{f'p^{n+e}}$ .*

**Remark 4.** Put  $p^g = |A_0(\chi)|$ . We see in §5 that  $g \leq e$  and that  $(H_0)$  is equivalent to  $g < e$  (Lemma 7).

Now, let us deal with some numerical examples. Let  $p = 3, 5$  or  $7$  and  $m$  a positive square free integer such that the real quadratic field  $k = k(m) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  satisfies (C1)-(C5). When  $p = 3$ , there are 133 (resp. 45) such  $k$  with  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  (resp.  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ) in the range  $0 < m < 10^4$ , including  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{254})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{473})$ . When  $p = 5$  (resp.  $p = 7$ ), there are 128 (resp. 86) such  $k$  in the range  $0 < m < 2 \times 10^4$  (resp.  $0 < m < 3 \times 10^4$ ).

Assume that  $p = 3$  and  $m = 254$  (resp. 473). Then, we have  $g = e = 1$  and  $\alpha \equiv 75$  (resp. 30)  $\pmod{3^6}$ . Some computation shows that the condition  $(H'_{5,l})$  is satisfied with  $l = 5925313$  (resp. 2068903). Hence, we get  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(k(m)) = 0$  for  $m = 254$  (resp. 473) by Theorem and Proposition 2.

In a similar way, we observe that  $\lambda_p(k) = 0$  for  $p = 3$  (resp. 5, 7) and all the above 178 = 133 + 45 (resp. 128, 86) real quadratic fields  $k$ . The following four tables are lists of  $m$  corresponding to these  $k$ . Table 1 (resp. Table 2) is for  $p = 3$  and  $m$  with  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  (resp.  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ). Table 3 (resp. Table 4) is for  $p = 5$  (resp.  $p = 7$ ). In Table 1, those  $m$  with \*-mark are ones for which  $\lambda_3(k) = 0$  is not proved by the previous investigations ([Ca], [Gr], [FK2], [OT]). In other cases, only few examples with  $\lambda_p(k) = 0$  are known by the previous investigations. Further, in the tables,  $g = 2$  for those  $m$  with o-mark, and  $g = 1$  for others.

In view of Proposition 1, the smallest integer  $n_0 = n_0(m)$  for which  $k(m) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  satisfies  $(H_{n_0})$  or  $(H'_{n_0,l})$  for some  $l$  is of interest. Though our method is not efficient at calculating  $n_0$ , we can obtain an upper bound for  $n_0$ . Let  $a$  be an integer with  $a \geq 2$ . In Table 1 and Table 2 (resp. Table 3, Table 4), for each  $m$  in the row “ $n_0 = a$ ”, we have checked that  $k(m)$  satisfies  $(H'_{a,l})$  for some  $l$  of the first 5 (resp. 4, 3) prime numbers  $l$  with  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{f'p^{a+e}}$  and that it does not satisfy  $(H'_{a-1,l})$  for all the first 20 (resp. 15, 10) prime numbers  $l$

with  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{f'p^{a+e-1}}$ . So, we have  $n_0(m) \leq a$ , but it is only plausible that  $n_0(m) = a$ . For those  $m$  in the row “ $n_0 = 0$ ” (resp. “ $n_0 = 1$ ”), we have checked, with the help of Remark 4, that  $n_0(m) = 0$  (resp.  $n_0(m) = 1$ ).



Table 3:  $p = 5$

	$m$						
$n_0 = 0$	982	3253	5615	5630	6563	6945	7282
	7513	10438	11273	11342	11818	12993	14163
	14745	15887	16015	19078	19477		
$n_0 = 1$	727	1093	1327	2027	2335	2362	2602
	2878	3238	3722	3967	3970	4358	4555
	4622	4757	4843	4865	4867	5107	5185
	5777	5927	6078	6085	6087	6113	6157
	6395	7570	7705	7817	8023	8707	8803
	9235	9322	9410	9553	9670	9722	9742
	9757	9803	9847	9895	10067	10398	10567
	10613	10678	10795	11215	11665	11722	11937
	12247	12322	12542	13015	13102	13133	13227
	13235	13427	13693	13742	13865	14398	15117
	15127	15257	16118	16243	16257	16813	16957
	17737	17742	18195	18235	18237	18433	18497
$n_0 = 2$	817	3585	3782	3997	6202	11095	12545
	13763	15133	15473	15862	16987	18215	18355
	18370	19067					
$n_0 = 3$	3598	16637	18773				
$n_0 = 4$	2153						

Table 4:  $p = 7$

	$m$						
$n_0 = 0$	2467	3811	4378	7510	9049	12977	16217
	19081	20221	21581	26851	27215	27937	28411
	28426						
$n_0 = 1$	577	1294	1601	2026	4702	5039	5417
	5626	5743	5827	5974	6097	6151	8097
	8587	9029	9289	9505	9539	10202	11021
	11023	11031	11035	11053	11794	12089	12655
	13054	14122	14201	14395	15277	16127	16471
	16534	16901	17023	17162	18494	18949	19599
	19614	19787	20614	21223	21446	21994	22102
	22417	22897	23413	23702	23974	24359	24526
	27667	28369	28609	28902	29203	29753	29785
29851							
$n_0 = 2$	15882	17335	17569	22921	29470		
$n_0 = 3$	14721						
$n_0 = 4$	2029						

**Remark 5.** There are some mistakes in Table 5.2 of [KS], for example their data for  $m = 254, 473$ . We are informed that they will correct them in their subsequent paper.

#### §4 PROOF OF THEOREM

##### §4-1 Preliminaries

Let  $(p, \chi)$  be as in §2. We assume that it satisfies (C1)-(C5), and we use the same notation as in §2. From (C1) and (C2), there exists uniquely a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_n$  of  $k_n$  over  $p$ . Let  $F_n(\subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$  be the completion of  $k_n$  at  $\mathfrak{p}_n$ , and put  $F_\infty = \cup F_n$ . We always regard that  $k_n$  is embedded in  $F_n$ . The Galois groups  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma$  are identified, respectively, with  $\text{Gal}(F_0/\mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_0)$  in an obvious way. Let  $E_n$  be the group of units of  $k_n$  and  $C_n$  the group of cyclotomic units of  $k_n$  in the sense of Hasse[H] and Gillard[Gi1, §2-3]. Then, the unit  $c_n$  defined in §2 is an element of  $C_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_n$  be the group of principal units of  $F_n$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_n$  and  $\mathcal{C}_n$  be the closures of  $E'_n = E_n \cap \mathcal{U}_n$  and  $C_n \cap \mathcal{U}_n$  in  $\mathcal{U}_n$  respectively. Since the

completed group ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[\Gamma]]$  acts on the groups  $\mathcal{U}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_n$  and  $\mathcal{C}_n$  naturally, we may regard the  $\chi$ -components  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_n(\chi)$  as modules over  $\Lambda$ . Put

$$c'_n = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{f_n})/k_n}(1 - \zeta_{f_n})^{r_{e\chi}} (\in \mathcal{C}_n(\chi)).$$

We need the following fact due to Iwasawa[I1] and [Gi2].

**Lemma 3.** (1) ([Gi2, Theorem 2]) *We have isomorphisms over  $\Lambda$ :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{U}_n(\chi) & \simeq & \Lambda/(\omega_n) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \mathcal{C}_n(\chi) & \simeq & (g_\chi, \omega_n)/(\omega_n) = (T - \alpha, \omega_n)/(\omega_n). \end{array}$$

(2) ([Gi2, §4-2]) *The cyclic  $\Lambda$ -module  $\mathcal{C}_n(\chi)$  is generated by  $c'_n$ .*

For this lemma, we need the assumptions (C2) and (C3). By the Leopoldt conjecture for  $(k_n, p)$  (proved by [B]), we have

**Lemma 4.** (cf. [W, §5-5]) *The inclusion  $E'_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n$  induces an isomorphism*

$$E'_n/E_n^{p^{n+e}} \simeq \mathcal{E}_n/\mathcal{E}_n^{p^{n+e}}.$$

We also need the following

**Lemma 5.** *Under the above setting, we have  $\lambda_\chi = 0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi) \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$  for some  $n \geq 0$ .*

Though this assertion is more or less known, we give its proof for the sake of completeness in §5.

#### §4-2 Proof of Theorem

First, we have to prove

**Lemma 6.**  *$c_n'^{X_n(T)}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi)^{p^{n+e}}$ , and  $(c_n'^{X_n(T)})^{1/p^{n+e}} (\in \mathcal{U}_n(\chi))$  is a generator of  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi)$  over  $\Lambda$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v}_n$  be any generator of  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi)$  over  $\Lambda$ . By Lemma 3(1),  $\mathbf{v}_n^{T-\alpha}$  is a generator of  $\mathcal{C}_n(\chi)$  over  $\Lambda$ . By Lemma 3(2),  $c'_n$  also is a generator of  $\mathcal{C}_n(\chi)$ . Therefore, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_n^{T-\alpha} = c'_n{}^f \text{ and } c'_n = \mathbf{v}_n^{(T-\alpha)g}$$

for some  $f(T), g(T) \in \Lambda$ . Then, since  $\mathbf{v}_n^{(T-\alpha)fg} = \mathbf{v}_n^{T-\alpha}$ , we obtain

$$(T - \alpha)fg \equiv T - \alpha \pmod{\omega_n}.$$

Since  $\alpha \neq 0$  (see §2), we see from this that  $f(0)g(0) = 1$ , and hence  $f$  is a unit of  $\Lambda$ . Put  $\mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{v}_n^{f^{-1}}$ . Then,  $\mathbf{u}_n$  generates  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi)$  over  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathbf{u}_n^{T-\alpha} = c'_n$ . Further, we have by the definition(1) of  $X_n(T)$

$$\mathbf{u}_n^{-\omega_n(\alpha)} = \mathbf{u}_n^{\omega_n(T) - \omega_n(\alpha)} = \mathbf{u}_n^{(T-\alpha)X_n(T)} = c'_n{}^{X_n(T)}.$$

From this and  $p^{n+e} \parallel \omega_n(\alpha)$ , we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

Now, let us prove Theorem. Let  $n(\geq 0)$  be any integer. By Lemma 6, we have  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi) = \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$  if and only if  $(c'_n{}^{X_n(T)})^{1/p^{n+e}} \in \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$ , or equivalently if and only if  $c'_n{}^{X_n(T)} \in \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)^{p^{n+e}}$ . But, by the isomorphism in Lemma 4, the class  $[c'_n{}^{X_n(T)}]$  is mapped to the class  $[c'_n{}^{X_n(T)}]$ . It follows from this that  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi) = \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$  if and only if  $c'_n{}^{X_n(T)} \in E_n^{p^{n+e}}$ . Then, we obtain our Theorem from Lemma 5.  $\square$

## §5 PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1

In this section, we prove Lemma 5 and Proposition 1. Let  $(p, \chi)$  be as before. We assume that it satisfies (C1)-(C5), and use the same notation as in the preceding sections. Let  $M$  be the maximal pro- $p$  abelian extension over  $k_\infty$  unramified outside  $p$ , and  $L$  the maximal unramified pro- $p$  abelian extension over  $k_\infty$ . The Galois groups  $\text{Gal}(M/k_\infty)$ ,  $\text{Gal}(M/L)$  and  $\text{Gal}(L/k_\infty)$  are considered as modules over  $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta][[\Gamma]]$  in a natural way. By the assumptions (C1), (C2) and the Iwasawa main conjecture, we have the following isomorphism over  $\Lambda$ :

$$(5) \quad Y = \text{Gal}(M/k_\infty)(\chi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(T - \alpha) (\simeq \mathbb{Z}_p).$$



Let  $M_n$  (resp.  $L_n$ ) be the maximal abelian extension over  $k_n$  contained in  $M$  (resp.  $L$ ). Then, by class field theory, we have (cf. [Co, Theorem 1])

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{Gal}(M_n/L_n)(\chi) \simeq (\mathcal{U}_n/\mathcal{E}_n)(\chi) \\ I = \text{Gal}(M/L)(\chi) \simeq \varprojlim (\mathcal{U}_n/\mathcal{E}_n)(\chi). \end{cases}$$

Here, the projective limit is taken with respect to the relative norms.

*Proof of Lemma 5.* By (5), we have  $\lambda_\chi = 0$  if and only if the inertia group  $I$  is nontrivial. But, we see from (6) that  $I$  is nontrivial if and only if  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi) \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$  for some  $n$  since the norm map  $\mathcal{U}_{m+1}(\chi) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_m(\chi)$  is surjective.  $\square$

Let  $M(\chi)$  be the intermediate field of  $M/k_\infty$  fixed by  $\text{Gal}(M/k_\infty)(\psi)$  for all ( $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ -valued) characters  $\psi$  of  $\Delta$  with  $\psi \neq \chi$ . We put

$$M_n(\chi) = M_n \cap M(\chi), \quad L_n(\chi) = L_n \cap M(\chi).$$

Then, we have

$$(7) \quad \text{Gal}(M_n(\chi)/k_\infty) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]/(T - \alpha, \omega_n) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^{n+e}\mathbb{Z}.$$

Put  $p^g = |A_0(\chi)|$ . Since  $L_0(\chi) \subseteq M_0(\chi)$ , we see that  $A_0(\chi) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^g\mathbb{Z}$  and  $g \leq e$ . As we have seen in §4-2, the condition  $(H_n)$  is equivalent to  $\mathcal{U}_n(\chi) \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)$ . We put

$$n_0 = \min\{n \mid (H_n) \text{ holds}\} = \min\{n \mid \mathcal{U}_n(\chi) \supsetneq \mathcal{E}_n(\chi)\}.$$

Then,  $0 \leq n_0 \leq \infty$ . From (6) and (7), we easily get

**Lemma 7.** *We have  $n_0 = 0$  if and only if  $g < e$ .*

Proposition 1 is an immediate consequence of the following

**Proposition 3.** *According as  $n_0 = 0$  or  $1 \leq n_0 \leq \infty$ , we have*

$$h_n = \begin{cases} p^n & n \leq g \\ p^g & n \geq g \end{cases} \quad \text{or} \quad h_n = \begin{cases} 1 & n \leq n_0 - 1 \\ p^{n-n_0+1} & n_0 - 1 \leq n \leq n_0 + e - 1 \\ p^g = p^e & n \geq n_0 + e - 1. \end{cases}$$

In what follows, we identify by (5) the Galois group  $Y$  with the additive group  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  on which  $T = \gamma - 1$  acts via multiplication by  $\alpha$ . To prove the above proposition, we need the following

**Lemma 8.**  $I = p^g\mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $p^{n_0+e-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$  according as  $n_0 = 0$  or  $1 \leq n_0 \leq \infty$ . Here,  $p^\infty\mathbb{Z}_p$  means  $\{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $1 \leq n_0 < \infty$  (hence,  $g = e$  by Lemma 7). By the definition of  $n_0$  and (6), we have

$$M_{n_0-1}(\chi) = L_{n_0-1}(\chi) \quad \text{but} \quad M_{n_0}(\chi) \supsetneq L_{n_0}(\chi).$$

Then, we get  $I = p^{n_0+e-1}\mathbb{Z}_p$  because of  $Y = \mathbb{Z}_p$  and (7). The assertion for the other cases is proved in a similar way.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.* By [I3, Theorem 8], we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_0(\chi) & \xrightarrow{i_n} & A_n(\chi) \\ \wr | & & \wr | \\ Y/(I + \omega_0 Y) & \xrightarrow{\times \nu_n} & Y/(I + \omega_n Y). \end{array}$$

Here,  $\nu_n = \omega_n(T)/\omega_0(T)$  and  $\times \nu_n$  denotes the map

$$y \bmod (I + \omega_0 Y) \rightarrow \nu_n y \bmod (I + \omega_n Y).$$

Since  $\nu_n y = \nu_n(\alpha)y$  by (5), we easily obtain our assertion from the diagram, (5) and Lemma 8.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [B] A. Brumer, *On the units of algebraic number fields*, *Mathematika* **14** (1967), 121–124.
- [BCEM] B. Böhler, R.E. Crandall, R. Ernvall and T. Metsänkylä, *Irregular primes and cyclotomic invariants to four million*, *Math. Comp.* **61** (1993), 151–153.
- [Ca] A. Candiotti, *Computations of Iwasawa invariants and  $K_2$* , *Compositio Math.* **29** (1974), 89–111.
- [Co] J. Coates,  *$p$ -adic  $L$ -functions and Iwasawa's theory*, *Algebraic Number Fields* (Durham Symposium; ed. by A. Fröhlich): Academic Press: London (1975), 269–353.
- [EM] R. Ernvall and T. Metsänkylä, *Computation of the zeros of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, *Math. Comp.* **58** (1992), 815–830.
- [F1] I. Fukuda, *Iwasawa's  $\lambda$ -invariants of certain real quadratic fields*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **65** (1989), 260–262.
- [F2] I. Fukuda, *Iwasawa  $\lambda$ -invariants of imaginary quadratic fields*, *J. College Industrial Technology Nihon Univ.* (Corrigendum: to appear *ibid.*) **27** (1994), 35–88.
- [FK1] I. Fukuda and K. Komatsu, *On  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of real quadratic fields*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **38** (1986), 95–102.
- [FK2] I. Fukuda and K. Komatsu, *A capitulation problem and Greenberg's conjecture of real quadratic fields*, to appear in *Math. Comp.*
- [FKW] I. Fukuda, K. Komatsu and H. Wada, *A remark on the  $\lambda$ -invariant of real quadratic fields*, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **62** (1986), 318–319.
- [FT] I. Fukuda and H. Taya, *The Iwasawa  $\lambda$ -invariants of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of real quadratic fields*, *Acta Arith.* **69** (1995), 277–292.
- [FW] I. Fukuda and L. Washington, *Units for abelian number fields*, *Ann. of Math.* **109** (1979), 377–395.
- [Gi1] R. Gillard, *Remarques sur les unités cyclotomiques et unités elliptiques*, *J. Number Theory* **11** (1979), 21–48.
- [Gi2] R. Gillard, *Unités cyclotomiques, unités semi locales et  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -extensions II*, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **29** (1979), 1–15.
- [Gr] R. Greenberg, *On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields*, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 263–284.
- [H] H. Hasse, *Über die Klassenzahl Abelscher Zahlkörper*, Akademie Verlag: Berlin (1952).
- [I1] I. Iwasawa, *On some modules in the theory of cyclotomic fields*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **16** (1964), 42–82.
- [I2] I. Iwasawa, *Lectures on  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions*, *Ann. of Math. Stud.* no. 74, Princeton Univ. Press: Princeton, N.J. (1972).
- [I3] I. Iwasawa, *On  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -extensions of algebraic number fields*, *Ann. of Math.* **98** (1973), 246–326.

- [IS] I. Iwasawa and C. Sims, *Computation of invariants in the theory of cyclotomic fields*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18** (1966), 86–96.
- [K] J. S. Kraft, *Iwasawa invariants of CM fields*, J. Number Theory **32** (1989), 65–77.
- [KS] J. S. Kraft and R. Schoof, *Computing Iwasawa modules of real quadratic fields*, Compositio Math. **97** (1995), 135–155.
- [MW] M. Mazur and A. Wiles, *Class fields of abelian extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$* , Invent. Math. **76** (1984), 179–330.
- [OTM] T. Ozaki and H. Taya, *A note on Greenberg’s conjecture of real abelian number fields*, submitted for publication (1995).
- [S] H. Sumida, *Greenberg’s conjecture and the Iwasawa polynomial*, submitted for publication (1995).
- [T] H. Taya, *On the Iwasawa  $\lambda$ -invariants of real quadratic fields*, Tokyo J. Math. **16** (1993), 121–130.
- [Wa] S. Wagstaff, Jr., *Zeros of  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions, II*, Number Theory Related to Fermat’s Last Theorem (Cambridge, Mass., 1981), Progr. Math. vol. 26, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., (1982), 297–308.
- [W] L. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Graduate Texts in Math. no. 83, Springer: New York (1982).